

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARK A. FREGIA,

Plaintiff,

v.

YUCUI CHEN, et al.,

Defendants. (ECF No. 89)

Case No. 1:20-cv-01024-ADA-EPG (PC)

ORDER GRANTING THIRD EX PARTE
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO
FILE SUR-REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION FOR AN ORDER UNDER THE
ALL WRITS ACT

On February 1, 2023, defense counsel filed an *ex parte* motion for "a thirty-day extension of time to file a sur-reply to Plaintiff's Motion for an Order Under the All Writs Act, up to and including March 6, 2023." (ECF No. 78, p. 1). The motion was granted. (ECF No. 79). On March 2, 2023, defense counsel filed a second *ex parte* motion for an extension of time to file a sur-reply. (ECF No. 86). The motion was granted. (ECF No. 87). On March 10, 2023, defense counsel filed a third *ex parte* motion for an extension of time to file a sur-reply (ECF No. 89), which is now before the Court.

"Defense counsel requests a third eight-day extension of time because the Warden did not return from his unplanned absence on March 8, 2023, as previously anticipated, and is not expected back until March 13, 2023, which is the current deadline to file the sur-reply." (*Id.* at 1-2). "The Warden will also be out March 14, 15, and 16. Upon the Warden's expected return on March 13, 2023, from his unplanned absence, a few days of additional time will be needed to

1 complete the sur-reply.” (Id. at 3) (citation omitted).

2 The Court finds good cause to grant the motion.

3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Warden has until March 21, 2023, to file
4 a sur-reply to Plaintiff’s Motion for an Order Under the All Writs Act.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.
6

7 Dated: March 13, 2023

8 /s/ *Evan P. Groj*
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28